6/2/2009

Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # APP # 700023

A. Statement of Planning Objectives

Upon the completion of Sierra National Forest's Travel Management Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and subsequent implementation of the Motorized Vehicle Use Map (MVUM), the Bass Lake Ranger District will be propelled from "open to cross country" OHV use (up to 300,000 acres), to a designated route system. With that comes the requirement to be decisive on various aspects of managing OHV recreation. Funding is requested to assist in the development of a 3 year action oriented OHV Strategic Implementation Plan (SIP) resulting in several Action Plans for implementation of the Sierra National Forest Travel Management EIS.

B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

The development of a 3-5 year action oriented OHV Strategic Implementation Plan (SIP) would work as a model for the prioritization of multiple projects for the efficient and timely completion of a successful program of work for the Bass Lake Ranger District related to OHV recreation. The goals are:

- 1. An efficient, cost effective and well managed OHV program with the ability to keep pace with current use and trends along with the utilization scientific data and conservation techniques for resource conservation.
- 2. The ability to sustain OHV recreation and OHV opportunity, while enhancing the OHV experience for long term use.

C. Statement of Activities

Through the completion of a Strategic Implementation Plan several Action Plans are derived from an integrative interdisciplinary approach consisting of Resource Specialists who would collaboratively work to prioritize resource projects per the Sierra National Forest Travel Management EIS decision, e.g. Streams, Soils, Meadows, Botany, Historical and Cultural, Fish/Amphibians, Hydrology, Reforestation/timber, Wildlife and General Forest Recreation. The result is a multiple project program of work that addresses opportunities for funding and partnerships in the implementation of these Action Plans.

D. List of Reports

Action Plans proposed to be developed:

- 1. Bass Lake Ranger District OHV web site Action Plan
- 2. Route maintenance Action Plan (of designated routes per EIS decision). Would bring designated routes to Forest Service trail management standards per Forest Service guidelines for resource concerns, safety and skill, based on type of activity i.e. MC, ATV/UTV, 4x4 or general recreation. Also would include identification of those routes needed to be relocated/realigned.

Items to include in this Plan:

- A. Status of routes, i.e. condition surveys and prescriptions
- B. Time frame to bring to standard
- C. Signing needs;
 - 1.Skill level
 - 2. Directional
 - 3. Informational
 - 4. Enforcement
 - 5. Administrative
 - 6. Educational, i.e. "tread lightly", safety, conservation, etc.
- D. Facilities at Use Areas, (viewing /play areas/staging) and trail heads

Version # Page: 1 of 11

- 1. Toilets
- 2. Bulletin boards
- 3. Signs
- 3. Roads to Trails Action Plan (per the EIS decision):

Include same items as listed for Route Maintenance Action Plan

- 4. Restoration Action Plan. Identification and prioritization of restoration activities for NEPA and implementation of projects related to:
 - A. Non designated routes per the EIS decision
 - B. Route Relocation/realignments per the EIS decision
 - C. Fisheries/amphibians
 - D. Soils
 - E. Meadows
 - F. Botany
 - G. Historical and Cultural
 - H. Hydrology
 - I. Reforestation/timber
 - J. Wildlife
 - K. General Forest Recreation Areas
- 5. General Forest Action Plan (per the EIS decision) for projects related to:
 - A. Use Areas i.e. viewing, play areas or staging
 - B. Miami
 - C. Forest Service managed campgrounds
- 6. Resource Conservation Action Plan e.g. monitoring techniques and/or scientific study for:
 - A. Routes (designated and non designated) per EIS decision
 - B. Route Relocation/realignments per EIS decision
 - C. Fisheries/amphibians
 - D. Soils
 - E. Meadows
 - F. Botany
 - G. Historical and Cultural
 - H. Hydrology
 - I. Reforestation/timber
 - J. Wildlife
 - K. General Forest Recreation Areas
- 7. Safety/Education /Communication Action Plan
- 8. Law Enforcement Action Plan

Page: 2 of 11 Version #

Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700023
1.	Timeline for Completion Attachments:		Draft 2010 Bass Lake RD SIP Timeline
2.	Optional Project-Specific Application I	Documents	
3.	Optional Project-specific Maps		Ciarra National Forest area of use
	Attachments:		Sierra National Forest area of us

Version # Page: 3 of 11

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version # _			APP #		
APPL	ICANT NAME :	USFS - Sierra National Forest						
PROJ	ECT TITLE :	Planning Bass Lake RD				PROJECT NUME (Division use on		
PROJECT TYPE :		☐ Acquisition ☐ Law Enforcement	Development Planning		□ Edu	cation & Safety	☐ Ground O	perations
PROJ	ECT DESCRIPTION :	Upon the completion of Sierra Nationa Motorized Vehicle Use Map (MVUM), the designated route system. With that come in the development of a 3 year action of National Forest Travel Management Ele	he Bass Lake Ran nes the requirement riented OHV Strate	ger District will be part to be decisive on	propelled fron various as	om "open to cross count pects of managing OHV	try" OHV use (up to 30 / recreation. Funding	00,000 acres), to a is requested to assist
	Line Item		Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIREC	CT EXPENSES							•
Progra	am Expenses							
1	Staff							
	Management and Adr	min Staff	8.000	225.000	DAY	1,125.00	675.00	1,800.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Soil Scientist		8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Hydorologist		8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Archaeologist		8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Wild Life Biolo	ogist	8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Fisheries/Amp	phibians	8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Resources Staff Notes : Botanist		8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Resources Staff Notes : Civil Eng	8.000	325.000	DAY	1,625.00	975.00	2,600.00
	Management Staff Notes : District Staff	5.000	325.000	DAY	975.00	650.00	1,625.00
	GIS Specialist	8.000	250.000	DAY	1,250.00	750.00	2,000.00
	Total for Staff				14,725.00	8,900.00	23,625.00
2	Contracts						
	Other-Consultant/facilitator SIP Notes: Consultant/facilitator SIP provides the structure to assist in setting goals and target objectives, specifying accomplishments to be achieved including action steps for building and assimilating a wide range of diverse activities.	7.000	1240.000	DAY	6,200.00	2,480.00	8,680.00
3	Materials / Supplies						
	Misc Supplies to support Program Notes : Flash drives for data storage	2.000	200.000	EA	200.00	200.00	400.00
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
	Printing	2.000	200.000	EA	200.00	200.00	400.00
	Stationery Supplies	2.000	75.000	EA	75.00	75.00	150.00
	Other-facility rental Notes : Facility rental	7.000	250.000	DAY	1,250.00	500.00	1,750.00
	Total for Others				1,525.00	775.00	2,300.00
7	Administrative Costs						
	Administrative Costs-administrative cost	1.000	2250.000	EA	2,250.00	0.00	2,250.00

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
Total P	rogram Expenses	24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00			
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES					24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00
TOTAL	EXPENDITURES				24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00

Version # Page: 6 of 11

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative			
DIRECT EXPENSES								
Prog	gram Expenses							
1	Staff	14,725.00	8,900.00	23,625.00				
2	Contracts	6,200.00	2,480.00	8,680.00				
3	Materials / Supplies	200.00	200.00	400.00				
1	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00				
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00				
6	Others	1,525.00	775.00	2,300.00				
7	Administrative Costs	2,250.00	0.00	2,250.00				
ota	l Program Expenses	24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00				
ГОТ	AL DIRECT EXPENSES	24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		24,900.00	12,355.00	37,255.00				

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS) for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest
Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700023				
	TEM 4 and ITEM 2						
,	TEM 1 and ITEM 2 ITEM 1						
a.	ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determ (Please select Yes or No)	nination (NOD) been fi	led for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2						
b.	ITEM 2 - Are the proposed activities a " (Please select Yes or No)	Project" under CEQA	Guidelines Section 15378?	С	Yes	•	No
C.	The Application is requesting funds sole and ensure public safety. These activitie environment and are thus not a "Project	es would not cause ar	y physical impacts on the	C	Yes	•	No
d.	Other. Explain why proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT com		physical impacts on the envi	ronm	ent and	are	thus not
	Project is a planning grant request.						
ı	TEM 3 - Impact of this Project on Wetl	ands					
ı	TEM 4 - Cumulative Impacts of this Pr	oject					
ı	TEM 5 - Soil Impacts						
ı	TEM 6 - Damage to Scenic Resources						
I	TEM 7 - Hazardous Materials						
	Is the proposed Project Area located or Section 65962.5 of the California Gover select Yes or No)			С	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the location of the hazards.	·	ect site, the level of hazard	and t	the meas	sure	s to be
ı	TEM 8 - Potential for Adverse Impacts	to Historical or Cult	ural Resources				
	Would the proposed Project have poter historical or cultural resources? (Pleas	<u>=</u>	I adverse impacts to	С	Yes	С	No
	If YES, describe the potential impacts a cultural resources and measures to be		· ·	gnifi	cance of	hist	orical or
ı	TEM 9 - Indirect Significant Impacts						
	CEQA/NEPA Attachment						

Version # Page: 8 of 11

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700023
1.	Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto popu	ulates from Cost Est	cimate)
1.	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimated Applicant is 3		
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Pleas	se select one from lis	t
	76% or more (10 points)		51% - 75% (5 points)
	26% - 50% (3 points)		25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2.	Planning Project - Q 2.		
A Pla	nning Project - Page 1		
2.	The Planning Project would address the	following 4	
	(Check all that apply) (Please select app	olicable values)	
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	•	pecies habitats
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	·	
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	n on soil conditions	
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	n on water quality	
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	n on other recreation	uses
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	n on adjacent lands.	
	Potential impact to relationships be	tween OHV Recreation	on and local residents
	Toxic or hazardous materials within	n a Project Area or ad	ljacent property that may impact OHV Recreation
	▼ Trail issues such as traffic patterns	, trails closures, appre	opriate uses, etc.
B. Pla	anning Project - Page 2		
	Explain each statement that was checke	ed	
	who collaboratively work to prioritize res decision, i.e. Streams, Soils, Meadows, Reforestation/timber, Wildlife and Gener addresses potential effects of OHV Rec	source projects per the Botany, Historical and ral Forest Recreation. reation on special-sta on uses, adjacent land	The result is a multiple project program of work that tus species habitats, cultural resources, soil ds/local residents, toxic or hazardous materials and
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Pleas	se select one from lis	t)
	6 or more items checked (4 points)	4 to 5 items checked (3 points)
	© 2 to 3 items checked (2 points)	c	1 or no items checked (No points)
3.	Motorized Access - Q 3.		
3.	The Project would lead to improved facil nonmotorized recreation opportunities	· ·	orized access to the following
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points e	ach, up to a maximur	m of 6 points (Please select applicable values)
		V	Birding
	✓ Hiking	V	Equestrian trails
	✓ Fishing	V	Rock Climbing
	Other (Specify) [driving for pleasure	e]	

Version # Page: 9 of 11

Public Input - Q 4.

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

	4.	4. The Project proposal was developed with public input employing the following	
		(Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values ☐ Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)	s)
		□ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)□ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)	
		Explain each statement that was checked	
5.		5. Stakeholder Input - Q 5.	
	5.	5. If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate substantial stake	eholder input: 5
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)	
		No (No points) Yes (5 points)	
		If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substantial'. Identify stakeholders	
		The development of Action Plans are derived from an integrative interdisciplinary app who collaboratively work to prioritize resource projects per the Sierra National Forest decision, i.e. Streams, Soils, Meadows, Botany, Historical and Cultural, Fish/Amphibi. Reforestation/timber, Wildlife and General Forest Recreation. The result is a multiple the implementation of these Action Plans that addresses opportunities for funding and with current and future, multiple partnerships who are a deverse and broad recreating CA, "The Pack"-San Luis Obisbo, CA, Fresno 4x4, Mariposa Horse Riders-Mariposa, Friends of Nelder Grove-Oakhurst, CA., Sierra Vista National Scenic Byway voluntee Sierra Volunteer Trail Crew-Fresno, CA., CAL-4, CA Blue Ribbon Coalition, CA Lock-	Travel Management EIS ans, Hydrology, project program of work in d opportunities for working g public e.g. 4x4 Him-Fresno, CA, Equitreks-Oakhurst, CA, rs-North Fork, CA, High
6.		6. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.	
	6.	 The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number organizations that will participate in the Project are 4 	per of partner
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)	
		© 4 or more (4 points) © 2 to 3 (2 points)	
		C 1 (1 point) None (No points)	
		List partner organization(s)	
		Implementation of the Action Plans as a result of the completion of this project will profor partnering with future vounteers and activities with current partner organizations s "The Pack"-San Luis Obisbo, CA, Fresno 4x4, Mariposa Horse Riders-Mariposa, CA, Friends of Nelder Grove-Oakhurst, CA., Sierra Vista National Scenic Byway voluntee Sierra Volunteer Trail Crew-Fresno, CA.	uch as 4x4 Him-Fresno, CA, Equitreks-Oakhurst, CA,
7.		7. Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7.	
	7.	7. The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner 4	
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values) ✓ Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (4 points) ✓ Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (3 project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population cent	ers (3 points)
		 □ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV □ Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunities 	

Version # Page: 10 of 11

Explain each statement that was checked

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

Application: Planning Bass Lake RD

Development of Action Plans, derived from an integrative interdisciplinary approach of Resource specialists who collaboratively work to prioritize resource projects per the Sierra National Forest Travel Management EIS decision will result in a multiple project program of work that addresses potential effects of OHV Recreation on special-status species habitats, cultural resources, soil conditions, water quality, other recreation uses, adjacent lands/local residents, toxic or hazardous materials and trail issues, e.g. traffic patterns and use, trail closures, skill levels, safety, etc. The goals for completion of the Action Plans are 1) an efficient, cost effective and well managed OHV program with the ability to keep pace with current use and trends, the utilization of scientific data and conservation techniques. 2) To sustain OHV recreation and OHV opportunity, while enhancing the OHV experience for long term use.

8.	Identification	of	Funding	Sources	- C	Į į	8.
----	----------------	----	---------	---------	-----	-----	----

Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified 0

	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)					
	No (No points)	C Yes (5 points)				
	Explain 'Yes' response					
	Reference Document					
(Offsite Impacts - Q 9.					
9.	The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative dust, runoff): 5	ve to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive				
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from	n list)				

Explain 'Yes' response

No (No points)

9.

In the development of an Action PLans for Resource Conservation, Route Maintenance, Safety/Education/Communication and Law Enforcement, issues related to offsite impacts related to sound, fugitive dust, runoff etc. would be identified and a strategy to implement the prevention and mitigation of these impacts would be developed.

Yes (5 points)

Version # Page: 11 of 11